

## UNITED STAT DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 12/03/97 087984,005 DUNTON 42390.P5319 R **EXAMINER** LM61/1108 HOWARD A. SKAIST WILSON, J INTEL CORPORATION BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD - 7TH FLOOR 2712 LOS ANGELES CA 90025-1026

DATE MAILED: 11/08/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

## **Advisory Action**

Application No. 08/984,005

Applica

Dunton et al.

Examiner

Jacqueline Wilson

Group Art Unit

		<b>*</b> /	
THE	PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]	/	
a)	expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	/,'	
b)	expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, which is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.		
da de	ny extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. ate on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of the on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of etermining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be alculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.	\	
☐ A	Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on( period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).	or within any	
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Oct 27, 1999</u> has been considered with the following effect, but is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:			
	The proposed amendment(s):	/	
will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.			
_	will not be entered because:		
they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).			
they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).			
they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the			
	they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.		
	NOTE:		
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):			
	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.	le if submitted in a	
<b>6</b>	The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the applicat	ion in condition	
	for allowance because: <u>The prior art Kuribayashi in view of Pain et al is readable on the applicants claimed limitations.</u>		
	The state of the s	owly raised by the	
_	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were n Examiner in the final rejection.	ewly raised by the	
X	For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):		
	Claims allowed:		
	Claims objected to:		
	Claims rejected: 1-24		
	The proposed drawing correction filed on has has not been approved b	y the Examiner.	
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)		
	- · · ·	1	
	TUANHO	<b>6</b>	
	PRIMARY EXAMINER		
1			